



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Classical BV formalism for group actions

Citation for published version:

Safronov, P, Schenkel, A & Benini, M 2021 'Classical BV formalism for group actions' ArXiv.
<<https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.14886>>

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Classical BV formalism for group actions

Marco Benini^{1,2,a}, Pavel Safronov^{3,b} and Alexander Schenkel^{4,c}

¹ Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Genova,
Via Dodecaneso 35, 16146 Genova, Italy.

² INFN, Sezione di Genova,
Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146 Genova, Italy.

³ School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh,
Edinburgh EH9 3FD, United Kingdom.

⁴ School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham,
University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom.

Email: ^a benini@dima.unige.it
^b p.safronov@ed.ac.uk
^c alexander.schenkel@nottingham.ac.uk

May 2021

Abstract

We study the derived critical locus of a function $f : [X/G] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$ on the quotient stack of a smooth affine scheme X by the action of a smooth affine group scheme G . It is shown that $\mathrm{dCrit}(f) \simeq [Z/G]$ is a derived quotient stack for a derived affine scheme Z , whose dg-algebra of functions is described explicitly. Our results generalize the classical BV formalism in finite dimensions from Lie algebra to group actions.

Keywords: Derived algebraic geometry, derived critical locus, quotient stack, BV formalism

MSC 2020: 81Txx, 14A30, 18N40

Contents

1	Introduction and summary	2
2	Derived critical locus for quotient stacks	3
3	Reduction to derived affine schemes	4
4	Explicit model	6
4.1	Preliminaries on commutative algebras and Hopf algebras	6
4.2	The Hamiltonian G -space (T^*X, ω, μ)	7
4.3	The homotopy pushouts	8
5	Function dg-algebra and quasi-coherent sheaves	10
5.1	Function dg-algebra	10
5.2	Quasi-coherent sheaf dg-category	11
6	(-1)-shifted symplectic structure	12
6.1	Explicit formula	12
6.2	Relationship to the canonical (-1) -shifted symplectic structure	13
7	Comparison to the BV formalism for Lie algebra actions	14

1 Introduction and summary

The Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) formalism [BV81] is a time-honored and powerful method to describe gauge theories and their quantization. It plays a central role in modern developments in mathematical quantum field theory (QFT), in particular in the factorization algebra approach of Costello and Gwilliam [CG17, CG20] and in higher categorical generalizations of algebraic QFT [FR12, FR13, BSW19, BS19, BBS20]. Furthermore, it provides an excellent framework to study the rich interplay between gauge theories and boundaries or defects [CMR14, CMR18].

Despite these immense successes, the traditional BV formalism has also certain shortcomings. Most notable is the issue that it is intrinsically perturbative, even at the classical level. This is due to the fact that only the Lie algebra of infinitesimal gauge transformations, but not the whole gauge group, enters the description of a gauge theory in terms of the BV formalism. There can be drastic differences between infinitesimal and finite gauge transformations, even for the simplest examples of gauge theories. For instance, the two Abelian gauge theories with structure groups \mathbb{R} and $U(1)$ are indistinguishable at the infinitesimal level, but not at the global level due to features such as Aharonov-Bohm phases and magnetic charges (i.e. Chern classes) in the case of $U(1)$. Hence, the traditional BV formalism is by construction blind to such global features.

A global geometric framework that has a great potential to refine and generalize the traditional BV formalism is currently developed in the context of *derived algebraic geometry*. We refer the reader to [PTVV13, CPTVV17, Pri17] for the details and also to [Cal21, Saf19] for less technical introductions to this subject. Loosely speaking, derived algebraic geometry introduces a new concept of spaces, the so-called *derived stacks*, which when applied to the context of gauge theories encode not only the gauge fields, but also the ghosts and the antifields. Furthermore, many structures and constructions familiar from the BV formalism acquire a mathematically precise interpretation within derived algebraic geometry, e.g. the antibracket may be identified with a shifted symplectic (or Poisson) structure on a derived stack.

The most direct way in which derived algebraic geometry relates to the BV formalism is via so-called *derived critical loci*. Informally, a derived critical locus is a derived stack that refines the space of critical points of a function $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, e.g. an action function of a physical system. While retaining a simple and direct interpretation in terms of critical points, the derived critical locus captures refined information encoded both by the antifields of the BV formalism and by the ghost fields. The latter are inherited from the structure of the “space” of fields Y . For instance, for theories with infinitesimal gauge symmetries, $Y = [X/\mathfrak{g}]$ is a stack describing a Lie algebra quotient and, in the case one considers the whole gauge group, $Y = [X/G]$ is a stack describing a group quotient. It is in general difficult to provide an explicit model for the derived critical locus of a function $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. There are, however, two instances where this task has been accomplished, showing the close ties between derived critical loci and the BV formalism. (1) When Y is an ordinary space, i.e. an affine scheme, an explicit algebraic model for the derived critical locus is presented in [Vez20]. The result matches the classical BV formalism for theories without gauge symmetries. (2) The case of a formal stack $Y = [X/\mathfrak{g}]$ is studied in [CG20], where it is shown that the derived critical locus matches the BV formalism for theories with infinitesimal gauge symmetries. The technical details for this case have been worked out in a seminar by Schreiber [Sch11].

The goal of this paper is to present an explicit model for the derived critical locus of a function on a quotient stack $Y = [X/G]$, i.e. we are interested in theories with finite gauge symmetries. This case lies beyond the scope of the traditional BV formalism, which is intrinsically infinitesimal, and, as explained above, it is central to investigate global (as opposed to infinitesimal) aspects of gauge theories. To allow for a rigorous discussion within the framework of derived algebraic geometry, we restrict our attention to the case of finite-dimensional X and G . Unfortunately, this excludes the interesting case of field theories. However, we believe that it should be possible to amend our final results with functional analytical techniques similar to those used in the

traditional BV formalism [CG17, CG20, FR12, FR13] in order to make them applicable also to field theories. We plan to address this point in future works.

The outline of the remainder of this paper is as follows: Section 2 contains a very brief review of quotient stacks and derived critical loci in derived algebraic geometry. It is then shown in Section 3 that the derived critical locus of a function f on a quotient stack $[X/G]$ admits a more explicit and computationally convenient description in terms of a derived quotient stack $\mathrm{dCrit}(f) \simeq [Z/G]$ for some derived affine scheme Z . The latter can be described very explicitly by computing certain homotopy pushouts in the model category $\mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$ of non-positively graded commutative dg-algebras, which we carry out in detail in Section 4. Sections 2, 3 and 4 use techniques from derived algebraic geometry and model category theory. Readers who are less familiar with such techniques might prefer to start from Section 5, where we describe in very explicit terms the function dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{dCrit}(f))$ and the quasi-coherent sheaf dg-category $\mathbf{QCoh}(\mathrm{dCrit}(f))$ of the derived critical locus $\mathrm{dCrit}(f)$. (Some algebraic preliminaries that are useful for reading Section 5 are reviewed in Subsection 4.1.) The (-1) -shifted symplectic structure on $\mathrm{dCrit}(f)$ is then described very explicitly in Section 6. In the final Section 7, we compare our results to the traditional BV formalism for Lie algebra actions. We observe in particular that there exists a van Est-type map $\mathrm{vE} : \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{dCrit}(f)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{BV}(f))$ from the function dg-algebra of the derived critical locus $\mathrm{dCrit}(f)$ for quotient stacks to the dg-algebra of the traditional BV formalism for Lie algebra actions. This map is however in general not a quasi-isomorphism, see e.g. [Cra03], which reflects the differences between infinitesimal and finite gauge transformations.

Notation and conventions: We fix once and for all a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0. All vector spaces and algebras appearing in this paper will be over \mathbb{K} . For a (\mathbb{Z}) -graded vector space V and an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the k -shifted graded vector space $V[k]$ is defined by $V[k]^n := V^{k+n}$. Concerning complexes of vector spaces, we work with cohomological degree conventions, i.e. the differentials increase the degree by $+1$. For a cochain complex V and an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the k -shifted cochain complex $V[k]$ is defined by equipping the k -shifted underlying graded vector space with the differential $d_{V[k]} := (-1)^k d_V$.

2 Derived critical locus for quotient stacks

In this section we recall the definition of the derived critical locus for quotient stacks.

Let X be a derived stack with a right action of a smooth affine group scheme $G = \mathrm{Spec} H$, i.e. H is a finitely generated smooth commutative Hopf algebra. The corresponding derived quotient stack $[X/G]$ is defined as the colimit

$$[X/G] := \mathrm{colim} \left(X \rightrightarrows X \times G \rightrightarrows X \times G^2 \rightrightarrows \cdots \right) \quad (2.1)$$

in the ∞ -category of derived stacks of the simplicial diagram associated with the G -action $X \times G \rightarrow X$ on X .

We are particularly interested in the case where $X = \mathrm{Spec} A$ is a smooth affine scheme, i.e. A is a finitely generated smooth commutative algebra. In this case $[X/G]$ is an ordinary (i.e. non-derived) quotient stack. Let us consider a function $f : [X/G] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$, where the target is the affine scheme $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1 = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{K}[x]$ representing the line. Note that such a function on $[X/G]$ is the same datum as a G -equivariant function $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$ on X , where $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$ is equipped with the trivial G -action.

We are now ready to define the derived critical locus of f . Applying the de Rham differential, we obtain a section

$$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{dR}} f : [X/G] \longrightarrow T^*[X/G] \quad (2.2)$$

of the cotangent bundle $\pi : T^*[X/G] \rightarrow [X/G]$ over the quotient stack $[X/G]$. The derived critical locus of f is then given by intersecting this section with the zero section $0 : [X/G] \rightarrow T^*[X/G]$, i.e. it is defined as the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{dCrit}(f) & \dashrightarrow & [X/G] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow 0 \\ [X/G] & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{dR}} f} & T^*[X/G] \end{array} \quad (2.3)$$

in the ∞ -category of derived stacks.

The derived critical locus carries a canonical (-1) -shifted symplectic structure. The relevant argument is as follows, see e.g. [Gra20] for more details. The total space $T^*[X/G]$ of the cotangent bundle carries an exact 0-shifted symplectic structure $\omega = \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{dR}} \lambda$, where λ denotes the tautological 1-form on $T^*[X/G]$, cf. [Cal19]. Both $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{dR}} f : [X/G] \rightarrow T^*[X/G]$ and the zero section $0 : [X/G] \rightarrow T^*[X/G]$ carry an exact Lagrangian structure. The fiber product in (2.3) is thus an intersection of two Lagrangians in a 0-shifted symplectic derived stack, which by general results from derived algebraic geometry defines a canonical (-1) -shifted symplectic structure on $\mathrm{dCrit}(f)$.

3 Reduction to derived affine schemes

The definition of the derived critical locus given by (2.3) is presented by a fiber product of *derived stacks*. The goal of this section is to show that one can rewrite $\mathrm{dCrit}(f) \simeq [Z/G]$ as a quotient of a *derived affine scheme* Z by G .

To compute the fiber product in (2.3), we have to find a more explicit model for the total space $T^*[X/G]$ of the cotangent bundle. As shown in [Saf17], there exists an equivalence of 0-shifted symplectic derived stacks

$$T^*[X/G] \simeq [T^*X // G] \quad (3.1)$$

between the total space $T^*[X/G]$ and the *symplectic reduction* $[T^*X // G]$ of the Hamiltonian G -space (T^*X, ω, μ) given by

- the cotangent bundle T^*X over X ,
- its canonical symplectic structure $\omega = \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{dR}} \lambda \in \Omega^2(T^*X)$, where $\lambda \in \Omega^1(T^*X)$ denotes the tautological 1-form on T^*X , and
- the moment map $\mu : T^*X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ defined by $\mu(\xi) := -\iota_{\rho(\xi)} \lambda$, for all elements $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G , i.e. the contraction of minus the tautological 1-form $-\lambda$ against the vector fields $\rho(\xi)$ associated with the action of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on T^*X .

We refer the reader to Section 4 for a more explicit and detailed description of this Hamiltonian G -space. Recall that symplectic reduction $[T^*X // G]$ is a two-step construction. First, one takes the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu^{-1}(0) & \dashrightarrow & \mathrm{pt} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow 0 \\ T^*X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathfrak{g}^* \end{array} \quad (3.2)$$

in the ∞ -category of derived stacks, which determines the derived zero locus of the moment map μ , and then one forms the derived quotient stack

$$[T^*X // G] := [\mu^{-1}(0)/G] \quad (3.3)$$

defined according to (2.1) by means of the inherited G -action on $\mu^{-1}(0)$. Using this description and the equivalence in (3.1), one finds that the derived critical locus $\mathrm{dCrit}(f)$ introduced in (2.3) is equivalent to the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{dCrit}(f) & \dashrightarrow & [X/G] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow 0 \\ [X/G] & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{dR}} f} & [\mu^{-1}(0)/G] \end{array} \quad (3.4)$$

in the ∞ -category of derived stacks.

As explained in (3.7) and (3.8) below, the derived critical locus may therefore be described as a derived quotient stack

$$\mathrm{dCrit}(f) \simeq [Z/G] \quad , \quad (3.5)$$

where Z and its inherited G -action are defined by the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \dashrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow 0 \\ X & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{dR}} f} & \mu^{-1}(0) \end{array} \quad (3.6)$$

in the ∞ -category of derived stacks. This alternative description is very useful for the following reason: As a consequence of our assumption that $X = \mathrm{Spec} A$ is a smooth affine scheme, both the derived stacks $\mu^{-1}(0)$ defined in (3.2) and Z defined in (3.6) are derived affine schemes, i.e. they admit purely algebraic models in terms of commutative dg-algebras in non-positive degrees. These facts make the description of the derived critical locus in (3.5) particularly explicit and computationally convenient.

The relevant argument to prove the equivalence in (3.5) is as follows: By definition, $\mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow [\mu^{-1}(0)/G]$ is an effective epimorphism. Since effective epimorphisms are stable under pullback, the projection map

$$\begin{aligned} X \times_{\mu^{-1}(0)} X &\simeq ([X/G] \times [X/G]) \times_{[\mu^{-1}(0)/G] \times [\mu^{-1}(0)/G]} \mu^{-1}(0) \\ &\rightarrow ([X/G] \times [X/G]) \times_{[\mu^{-1}(0)/G] \times [\mu^{-1}(0)/G]} [\mu^{-1}(0)/G] \\ &\simeq [X/G] \times_{[\mu^{-1}(0)/G]} [X/G] \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

is also an effective epimorphism. Therefore,

$$[Z/G] = [(X \times_{\mu^{-1}(0)} X)/G] \simeq [X/G] \times_{[\mu^{-1}(0)/G]} [X/G] \simeq \mathrm{dCrit}(f) \quad , \quad (3.8)$$

where the first step uses the definition of Z in (3.6) and the last step uses the description of the derived critical locus in (3.4).

Let us also provide a more conceptual viewpoint on the presentation (3.5) of the derived critical locus of $f : [X/G] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$. We may identify the fiber product defining Z in (3.6) with the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \dashrightarrow & \mathrm{pt} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow 0 \\ \mathrm{dCrit}(\bar{f}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} & \mathfrak{g}^*[-1] \end{array} \quad (3.9)$$

where $\mathrm{dCrit}(\bar{f})$ is the derived critical locus of the underlying G -equivariant function $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$. In other words, we have a (-1) -shifted moment map $\bar{\mu} : \mathrm{dCrit}(\bar{f}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*[-1]$ and the derived critical locus $\mathrm{dCrit}(f)$ in the presentation (3.5) is the (-1) -shifted symplectic reduction of $\mathrm{dCrit}(\bar{f})$. This point of view is developed further in [AC21].

4 Explicit model

The aim of this section is to compute explicitly a derived affine scheme Z that models the fiber product in (3.6). This is a purely algebraic problem that can be addressed by working in the model category $\mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$ of non-positively graded commutative dg-algebras. The associated derived quotient stack $[Z/G] \simeq \mathrm{dCrit}(f)$ provides a model for the derived critical locus, cf. (3.5).

4.1 Preliminaries on commutative algebras and Hopf algebras

Because $X = \mathrm{Spec} A$ is by our hypotheses smooth and affine, the concepts of differential forms and vector fields on X admit a purely algebraic description that we shall briefly recall. Let A be an arbitrary finitely generated smooth commutative algebra. Given any A -module M , the A -module $\mathrm{Der}(A, M)$ of *derivations* on A with values in M consists of all linear maps $D : A \rightarrow M$ that satisfy the Leibniz rule $D(aa') = D(a)a' + aD(a')$, for all $a, a' \in A$. The functor $\mathrm{Der}(A, -) : \mathbf{Mod}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_A$ is co-representable by an A -module Ω_A^1 that is called the module of *Kähler 1-forms* on A , i.e. there is a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Der}(A, -) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega_A^1, -)$. From this natural isomorphism one obtains a universal derivation $d^{\mathrm{dR}} : A \rightarrow \Omega_A^1$ that is called the *de Rham differential*. The de Rham dg-algebra $\Omega_A^\bullet := \bigwedge_A^\bullet \Omega_A^1$ is defined as usual by forming the exterior algebra over A of the A -module Ω_A^1 and extending d^{dR} via the graded Leibniz rule to a differential of degree +1.

Because A was assumed to be finitely generated and smooth, it follows that the A -module Ω_A^1 of Kähler 1-forms is finitely generated and projective, hence it is dualizable in the symmetric monoidal category $(\mathbf{Mod}_A, \otimes_A, A)$. We denote its dual by

$$\mathsf{T}_A := \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega_A^1, A) \cong \mathrm{Der}(A, A) \quad (4.1)$$

and the associated evaluation and coevaluation morphisms by

$$\mathrm{ev} : \Omega_A^1 \otimes_A \mathsf{T}_A \longrightarrow A, \quad \mathrm{coev} : A \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}_A \otimes_A \Omega_A^1. \quad (4.2)$$

The A -module T_A should be interpreted as the module of vector fields and ev is the duality pairing between 1-forms and vector fields.

In the case where $G = \mathrm{Spec} H$ is a smooth affine group scheme, the commutative algebra H carries further the structure of a Hopf algebra, i.e. it is endowed with a coproduct $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$, a counit $\epsilon : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and an antipode $S : H \rightarrow H$. We shall use the standard Sweedler notation

$$\Delta h = h_{\underline{1}} \otimes h_{\underline{2}} \quad (\text{summation understood}) \quad (4.3a)$$

to denote the coproduct of $h \in H$, and more generally

$$\Delta^n h = h_{\underline{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{\underline{n+1}} \quad (\text{summation understood}) \quad (4.3b)$$

for iterated applications of the coproduct. (Note that, due to coassociativity of the coproduct, it makes sense to write $\Delta^2 := (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ for the two-fold application of Δ , and similarly Δ^n for the n -fold application.) From this algebraic perspective, the dual Lie algebra of $G = \mathrm{Spec} H$ is defined as the quotient

$$\mathfrak{g}^* := \frac{H^+}{H^{+2}} \quad (4.4)$$

of the augmentation ideal $H^+ := \ker(\epsilon : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}) \subseteq H$ by its square. The coadjoint H -coaction on \mathfrak{g}^* is induced from the right adjoint coaction $\delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$, $h \mapsto h_{\underline{2}} \otimes S(h_{\underline{1}})h_{\underline{3}}$ of the Hopf algebra H on itself, where S denotes the antipode. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of $G = \mathrm{Spec} H$ is defined as the dual of \mathfrak{g}^* and it is given explicitly by

$$\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathbb{K}) \cong \mathrm{Der}_{\epsilon}(H, \mathbb{K}). \quad (4.5)$$

The latter is the vector space of derivations relative to the counit $\epsilon : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, i.e. linear maps $\xi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ satisfying $\xi(hh') = \xi(h)\epsilon(h') + \epsilon(h)\xi(h')$, for all $h, h' \in H$. The Lie bracket $[\xi, \xi']$, for $\xi, \xi' \in \mathfrak{g}$, is the relative derivation $[\xi, \xi'] : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ defined by

$$[\xi, \xi'](h) := \xi(h_1)\xi'(h_2) - \xi'(h_1)\xi(h_2) \quad , \quad (4.6)$$

for all $h \in H$.

A right action $X \times G \rightarrow X$ of $G = \text{Spec } H$ on $X = \text{Spec } A$ is given algebraically by endowing A with the structure of a right H -comodule algebra. We shall use again the Sweedler notation

$$\delta a := a_{\underline{0}} \otimes a_{\underline{1}} \quad (\text{summation understood}) \quad (4.7)$$

to denote the right coaction $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ on $a \in A$. From this right coaction one obtains a Lie algebra action $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_A \cong \text{Der}(A, A)$ via the formula

$$\rho(\xi)(a) := a_{\underline{0}}\xi(a_{\underline{1}}) \quad , \quad (4.8)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $a \in A$. The right H -coaction $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ induces to the A -module Ω_A^1 of Kähler 1-forms and consequently also to its dual A -module \mathbb{T}_A of vector fields, endowing them with the structure of right H -comodule A -modules. Explicitly, the H -coaction on Kähler 1-forms is given by describing $\Omega_A^1 = I/I^2$ as the quotient of the ideal $I := \ker(m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A) \subseteq A \otimes A$ determined by the kernel of the multiplication map by its square and using the tensor product coaction on $A \otimes A$, i.e. $\delta(a \otimes a') = a_{\underline{0}} \otimes a'_{\underline{0}} \otimes a_{\underline{1}} a'_{\underline{1}}$, for all $a \otimes a' \in A \otimes A$. Since the de Rham differential $d^{\text{dR}} : A \rightarrow \Omega_A^1$ is compatible with the right H -coactions on A and on Ω_A^1 , those extend to a right H -coaction on the de Rham dg-algebra Ω_A^\bullet .

4.2 The Hamiltonian G -space (T^*X, ω, μ)

In this subsection we provide an explicit description of the Hamiltonian G -space that enters the symplectic reduction in (3.1). As before, let $X = \text{Spec } A$ be a smooth affine scheme together with an action $X \times G \rightarrow X$ of a smooth affine group scheme $G = \text{Spec } H$. In this case the total space of the cotangent bundle $\pi : T^*X \rightarrow X$ is affine too. It is concretely given by

$$T^*X = \text{Spec}(\text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A) \quad , \quad (4.9)$$

where $\text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A$ denotes the symmetric algebra over A of the A -module \mathbb{T}_A of vector fields. The projection map $\pi : T^*X \rightarrow X$ is algebraically described by the algebra homomorphism $\pi^* : A \rightarrow \text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A$ that identifies A with the 0-th symmetric power $A = \text{Sym}_A^0 \mathbb{T}_A \subseteq \text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A$. The H -coactions on A and \mathbb{T}_A induce, by using again tensor product coactions, a right H -comodule algebra structure $\delta : \text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A \rightarrow \text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A \otimes H$ on the symmetric algebra.

To describe the canonical symplectic structure on T^*X , we use that there is a short exact sequence of (relative) Kähler 1-forms

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A \otimes_A \Omega_A^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A/A}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (4.10)$$

associated with the algebra homomorphism $\pi^* : A \rightarrow \text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A$, which in particular implies that every element in $\text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A \otimes_A \Omega_A^1$ may be regarded as a 1-form on $\text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A$. Applying the coevaluation map $\text{coev} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_A \otimes_A \Omega_A^1$ to the unit element $\mathbb{1} \in A$ then allows us to define the *tautological 1-form*

$$\lambda := \text{coev}(\mathbb{1}) \in \mathbb{T}_A \otimes_A \Omega_A^1 \subseteq \text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A \otimes_A \Omega_A^1 \subseteq \Omega_{\text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A}^1 \quad (4.11)$$

on T^*X . By construction, λ is invariant under the H -coaction on $\Omega_{\text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A}^1$, i.e. $\delta\lambda = \lambda \otimes \mathbb{1}_H$. The canonical symplectic form on T^*X is obtained by taking the de Rham differential

$$\omega := d^{\text{dR}}\lambda \in \Omega_{\text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A}^2 \quad (4.12)$$

of λ and it is by construction invariant under the H -coaction too.

It remains to describe the moment map $\mu : T^*X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Algebraically, this is given by an algebra homomorphism $\mu^* : \text{Sym } \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A$ or equivalently, using that $\text{Sym } \mathfrak{g}$ is a free commutative algebra, by a linear map $\mu^* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A$. We define

$$\mu^*(\xi) := -\iota_{\rho(\xi)}\lambda \in \mathbb{T}_A \subseteq \text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A \quad , \quad (4.13)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, by contracting minus the tautological 1-form (4.11) with the vector fields obtained from the Lie algebra action $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A}$ associated with the H -coaction on $\text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A$. The map $\mu^* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A$ is clearly equivariant with respect to the adjoint H -coaction on \mathfrak{g} and it also satisfies the moment map condition

$$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{dR}} \mu^*(\xi) = -(\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{dR}} \iota_{\rho(\xi)} + \iota_{\rho(\xi)} \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{dR}}) \lambda + \iota_{\rho(\xi)} \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{dR}} \lambda = -\mathcal{L}_{\rho(\xi)} \lambda + \iota_{\rho(\xi)} \omega = \iota_{\rho(\xi)} \omega \quad , \quad (4.14)$$

where we have used the definition $\omega = \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{dR}} \lambda$ of the canonical symplectic form and also that the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\rho(\xi)} \lambda = 0$ is zero as a consequence of invariance of λ under the H -coaction.

4.3 The homotopy pushouts

In this subsection we compute the two fiber products of derived stacks in (3.2) and (3.6). It is important to note that, as a consequence of our hypotheses on X and G , each derived stack in these diagrams is a derived affine scheme, i.e. it is of the form $\text{Spec } B$ for some commutative dg-algebra in non-positive degrees $B \in \mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$, which is interpreted as the function dg-algebra of this derived stack. This implies that we can dually compute these (∞ -categorical) fiber products in terms of *homotopy pushouts* in the model category $\mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$. We refer the reader to [LM20] for an excellent and very useful review of the standard model structure on $\mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$.

Let us start with the diagram (3.2) that determines the derived stack $\mu^{-1}(0)$. Passing over to the function dg-algebras, we obtain the following *homotopy* pushout diagram (indicated by ‘ h ’)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sym } \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{0^*} & \mathbb{K} \\ \mu^* \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ \text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A & \dashrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) \end{array} \quad (4.15)$$

in the model category $\mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$ that determines the commutative dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) \in \mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$ and hence the derived affine scheme $\mu^{-1}(0) = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0))$. We compute this homotopy pushout via a standard technique from model category theory: We replace the algebra homomorphism $0^* : \text{Sym } \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ that sends each generator $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ to zero by a weakly equivalent cofibration $\tilde{0}^* : \text{Sym } \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{K}}$ in $\mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$. This allows us to compute $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) \in \mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$ by the *ordinary* pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sym } \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{0}^*} & \tilde{\mathbb{K}} \\ \mu^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Sym}_A \mathbb{T}_A & \dashrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) \end{array} \quad (4.16)$$

in $\mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$. To obtain such a replacement, let us introduce the commutative dg-algebra

$$\tilde{\mathbb{K}} := \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathfrak{g}) \in \mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0} \quad (4.17)$$

that is given by the symmetric algebra of the acyclic cochain complex $(\mathfrak{g}[1] \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathfrak{g})$ concentrated in degrees -1 and 0 . The algebra map $0^* : \text{Sym } \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ factors through the dg-algebra $\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}$ via

$$\text{Sym } \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\text{Sym } i} \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}[1] \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\text{Sym } 0} \text{Sym } 0 \cong \mathbb{K} \quad , \quad (4.18)$$

where $i : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}[1] \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathfrak{g})$ is the inclusion in degree 0 and $0 : (\mathfrak{g}[1] \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow 0$ is the zero map. The second map in (4.18) is a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$, i.e. a quasi-isomorphism on the underlying cochain complexes, and the first map is a semi-free extension and hence in particular a cofibration in $\mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$, cf. [LM20]. This implies that we may use $\widetilde{0}^* := \text{Sym } i : \text{Sym } \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}$ to compute the pushout in (4.16). The resulting commutative dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) \in \mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$ is then given explicitly by

$$\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) = \text{Sym}_A \mathsf{T}_A \otimes_{\text{Sym } \mathfrak{g}} \widetilde{\mathbb{K}} \cong \text{Sym}_A \left(A \otimes \mathfrak{g}[1] \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \mathsf{T}_A \right) \quad , \quad (4.19)$$

where with abuse of notation we denote by the same symbol $\mu^* : A \otimes \mathfrak{g}[1] \rightarrow \mathsf{T}_A$, $a \otimes \xi \mapsto a \mu^*(\xi)$ the A -linear extension of the moment map $\mu^* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathsf{T}_A \subseteq \text{Sym}_A \mathsf{T}_A$ given in (4.13).

Let us consider now the diagram (3.6) that determines the derived stack Z . Passing again over to the function dg-algebras, we obtain the following homotopy pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) & \xrightarrow{0^*} & A \\ \text{(d}^{\text{dR}} f)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ A & \dashrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(Z) \end{array} \quad (4.20)$$

in the model category $\mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$ that determines the commutative dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(Z) \in \mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$ and hence the derived affine scheme $Z = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(Z)$. The two labeled dg-algebra homomorphisms in this diagram are given concretely by

$$0^* = \text{Sym}_A 0 : \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) \cong \text{Sym}_A \left(A \otimes \mathfrak{g}[1] \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \mathsf{T}_A \right) \longrightarrow \text{Sym}_A 0 \cong A \quad , \quad (4.21a)$$

where $0 : (A \otimes \mathfrak{g}[1] \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \mathsf{T}_A) \rightarrow 0$ denotes the zero map, and $(\text{d}^{\text{dR}} f)^* : \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow A$ is defined on the generators of $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0))$ by

$$(\text{d}^{\text{dR}} f)^*(a) = a \quad , \quad (\text{d}^{\text{dR}} f)^*(v) = \iota_v \text{d}^{\text{dR}} f \quad , \quad (\text{d}^{\text{dR}} f)^*(\xi) = 0 \quad , \quad (4.21b)$$

for all $a \in A$, $v \in \mathsf{T}_A$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}[1]$. Note that the latter is indeed compatible with the differential on $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0))$ (cf. (4.19)) because $\iota_{\mu^*(\xi)} \text{d}^{\text{dR}} f = -\rho(\xi)(f) = 0$, for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, as a consequence of the invariance of $f \in A$ under the H -coaction $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ on A .

Similarly to the computation of $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0))$ from before, we compute the homotopy pushout (4.20) by replacing $0^* : \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow A$ by a weakly equivalent cofibration $\widetilde{0}^* : \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow \widetilde{A}$ and then forming the ordinary pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{0}^*} & \widetilde{A} \\ \text{(d}^{\text{dR}} f)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \dashrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(Z) \end{array} \quad (4.22)$$

in $\mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$. For this purpose we introduce the commutative dg-algebra

$$\widetilde{A} := \text{Sym}_A \left((A \otimes \mathfrak{g}[1] \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \mathsf{T}_A) \otimes (\mathbb{K}[1] \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{K}) \right) \in \mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0} \quad , \quad (4.23)$$

where the middle \otimes denotes the tensor product of cochain complexes, and we observe that $0^* : \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow A$ factors as $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow \tilde{A} \rightarrow A$ into a cofibration followed by a weak equivalence. In more detail, the latter factorization is given by applying the functor Sym_A to the maps

$$(A \otimes \mathfrak{g}[1] \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \mathsf{T}_A) \otimes \mathbb{K} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes i} (A \otimes \mathfrak{g}[1] \xrightarrow{\mu^*} \mathsf{T}_A) \otimes (\mathbb{K}[1] \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{K}) \xrightarrow{0} 0 \quad (4.24)$$

of cochain complexes of A -modules, where $i : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}[1] \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{K})$ is the inclusion in degree 0. The second map is a weak equivalence between cofibrant objects (recall that T_A is projective), hence applying Sym_A defines a weak equivalence $\tilde{A} \rightarrow A$. Using again that T_A is projective, it follows that T_A is a retract of a free A -module, which implies that the first map in (4.24) is a retract of an injective map between cochain complexes of free A -modules. As a consequence of this, applying Sym_A to the first map in (4.24) defines a morphism $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ in $\mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$ that is a retract of a semi-free extension, hence it is a cofibration, cf. [LM20].

The commutative dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(Z) \in \mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$ resulting from the pushout in (4.22) is then given explicitly by the graded algebra

$$\mathcal{O}(Z) = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0))} \tilde{A} \cong \text{Sym}_A((A \otimes \mathfrak{g}[2]) \oplus \mathsf{T}_A[1]) \quad (4.25a)$$

together with the differential defined on the generators by

$$da = 0 \quad , \quad dv = \iota_v d^{\text{dR}} f \quad , \quad d\xi = \mu^*(\xi) \quad , \quad (4.25b)$$

for all $a \in A$, $v \in \mathsf{T}_A[1]$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}[2]$. Observe that $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ is canonically a right H -comodule dg-algebra with respect to the tensor product coaction $\delta : \mathcal{O}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H$ induced from the given coactions on A and T_A and the adjoint coaction on \mathfrak{g} .

5 Function dg-algebra and quasi-coherent sheaves

In the previous two sections we have obtained an explicit model for the derived critical locus of a function $f : [X/G] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$ on the quotient stack of a smooth affine scheme $X = \text{Spec } A$ by the action of a smooth affine group scheme $G = \text{Spec } H$. Our model is given by a derived quotient stack $\text{dCrit}(f) \simeq [Z/G]$ for a derived affine scheme $Z = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(Z)$, whose function dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(Z) \in \mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$ we have spelled out concretely in (4.25). In this section we will describe the function dg-algebra and the dg-category of quasi-coherent sheaves on the derived critical locus $\text{dCrit}(f)$. These algebraic objects are relevant for the study of quantization (see e.g. [Toe14]), which we will not discuss in the present paper, and they are also crucial for comparing $\text{dCrit}(f)$ with the usual BV formalism for Lie algebra actions in Section 7.

5.1 Function dg-algebra

Let us start with the function dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(\text{dCrit}(f))$ of $\text{dCrit}(f) \simeq [Z/G]$. Using the general definition of function dg-algebras for derived stacks given in [BZN12], see also [Saf19] for a review, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{O}(\text{dCrit}(f)) = \text{holim} \left(\mathcal{O}(Z) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H \rightrightarrows \mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H^{\otimes 2} \rightrightarrows \dots \right) \quad (5.1)$$

is the homotopy limit in the model category of (possibly unbounded) dg-algebras of the cosimplicial diagram associated with the right H -coaction $\delta : \mathcal{O}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H$. The latter can be computed by using the Dold-Kan correspondence to pass from cosimplicial cochain complexes to

double complexes, followed by forming the \prod -total complex. Spelling this out in more detail, we obtain that the underlying cochain complex of the dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{dCrit}(f))$ reads as

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{dCrit}(f))^k = \prod_{n+m=k} \mathcal{O}(Z)^n \otimes H^{+\otimes m} \quad , \quad (5.2a)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where we recall that $H^+ = \ker(\epsilon : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K})$ denotes the augmentation ideal. The differential $d^{\mathrm{tot}} : \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{dCrit}(f))^k \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{dCrit}(f))^{k+1}$ is defined on elements $b \otimes \underline{h} := b \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^m h_i \in \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{dCrit}(f))$, with $b \in \mathcal{O}(Z)^n$ homogeneous of degree n , by

$$d^{\mathrm{tot}}(b \otimes \underline{h}) = db \otimes \underline{h} + (-1)^n d^\Delta(b \otimes \underline{h}) \quad , \quad (5.2b)$$

where d denotes the differential on $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ and d^Δ is the cosimplicial differential defined by

$$d^\Delta(b \otimes \underline{h}) := \delta(b) \otimes \underline{h} + \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^j b \otimes \Delta_j(\underline{h}) + (-1)^{m+1} b \otimes \underline{h} \otimes \mathbf{1}_H \quad , \quad (5.2c)$$

where $\delta : \mathcal{O}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H$ is the coaction on $\mathcal{O}(Z)$, $\mathbf{1}_H \in H$ is the unit element and $\Delta_j(\underline{h}) := h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(h_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes h_m$ is the application of the coproduct on the j -th factor. Observe that $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{dCrit}(f)) = C^\bullet(G, \mathcal{O}(Z))$ is just the (normalized) group cohomology complex of $G = \mathrm{Spec} H$ with coefficients in the right H -comodule dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(Z)$. The product on $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{dCrit}(f))$ is given by the cup-product from group cohomology, which reads explicitly as

$$\left(b \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^m h_i \right) \left(b' \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{m'} h'_j \right) = (-1)^{n'm} b b'_0 \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^m h_i b'_i \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{m'} h'_j \quad , \quad (5.3)$$

where n' denotes the degree of $b' \in \mathcal{O}(Z)$ and we have used the Sweedler notation $\delta^m(b') = b'_0 \otimes b'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b'_m$ for the iterated application of the H -coaction. The unit element of $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{dCrit}(f))$ is given by $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{O}(Z)$. We would like to note that our model for $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{dCrit}(f))$ is *not* a strictly commutative dg-algebra, but rather an E_∞ -algebra, i.e. a homotopy-coherently commutative dg-algebra. The details about this E_∞ -algebra structure are not required in the present paper and can be found e.g. in [BF04].

5.2 Quasi-coherent sheaf dg-category

The function dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{dCrit}(f))$ of the derived quotient stack $\mathrm{dCrit}(f) \simeq [Z/G]$ has the well-known deficit that it does not in general encode the derived stack faithfully. A simple example that illustrates this phenomenon is as follows: Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ be the cyclic group of order 2 and consider the quotient stack $[\mathrm{pt}/\mathbb{Z}_2]$ determined by the trivial \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on the point. (Note that the latter is a non-trivial stack, namely the classifying stack of principal \mathbb{Z}_2 -bundles.) Then the function dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}([\mathrm{pt}/\mathbb{Z}_2]) = C^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{K})$ is given by the group cohomology complex of \mathbb{Z}_2 with coefficients in the trivial representation \mathbb{K} . For a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero, the latter is trivial in non-zero degrees and one obtains a chain of weak equivalences

$$\mathcal{O}([\mathrm{pt}/\mathbb{Z}_2]) = C^\bullet(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{K}) \simeq \mathbb{K} = \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{pt}) \quad (5.4)$$

between the function dg-algebra of $[\mathrm{pt}/\mathbb{Z}_2]$ and that of the point pt . Hence, all information about the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action in the quotient stack $[\mathrm{pt}/\mathbb{Z}_2]$ is lost when passing over to function dg-algebras.

To solve this issue, one may assign to a derived stack a richer algebraic object, namely its dg-category of quasi-coherent sheaves, see e.g. [Toe14] and [Saf19] for rather non-technical introductions. The latter can be interpreted roughly as the category of “vector bundles” over a given derived stack. In our case of interest, which is given by a derived quotient stack $\mathrm{dCrit}(f) \simeq$

$[Z/G]$ with $Z = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(Z)$ and $G = \text{Spec } H$ derived affines, the dg-category of quasi-coherent sheaves

$$\mathbf{QCoh}(\text{dCrit}(f)) \simeq \mathbf{dgMod}_{\mathcal{O}(Z)}^H \quad (5.5)$$

is the dg-category of (possibly unbounded) right H - A_∞ -comodule $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ -dg-modules. More explicitly, an object in this dg-category is a (not necessarily bounded) dg-module M over the commutative dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ in (4.25), together with a suitably homotopy-coherent (called A_∞) generalization of a right H -coaction $\delta^M : M \rightarrow M \otimes H$ that is compatible with the $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ -module structure on M and with the H -coaction $\delta : \mathcal{O}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H$ on $\mathcal{O}(Z)$. The details for such A_∞ -comodules are not required in the present paper and can be found e.g. in [AO21].

It is important to emphasize that from this richer quasi-coherent sheaf perspective, one can recover the function dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(\text{dCrit}(f))$ via the following construction: The right H -comodule dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ may be regarded as a (strict) right H - A_∞ -comodule $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ -dg-module, i.e. it defines an object in the dg-category $\mathbf{QCoh}(\text{dCrit}(f))$. (This object is the monoidal unit for the canonical symmetric monoidal structure on this dg-category.) Taking the endomorphisms of any object in a dg-category defines a dg-algebra and for the object $\mathcal{O}(Z) \in \mathbf{QCoh}(\text{dCrit}(f))$ one finds that

$$\underline{\text{End}}(\mathcal{O}(Z)) \simeq \mathcal{O}(\text{dCrit}(f)) \quad (5.6)$$

is equivalent to the function dg-algebra of $\text{dCrit}(f)$.

6 (-1) -shifted symplectic structure

Using our concrete model for $\text{dCrit}(f) \simeq [Z/G]$, we can directly write down a simple expression for a (-1) -shifted symplectic structure. We will then confirm in Subsection 6.2 that this agrees with the canonical, but more abstract, definition of the (-1) -shifted symplectic structure on $\text{dCrit}(f)$ via Lagrangian intersections, which we have briefly recalled in the last paragraph of Section 2.

6.1 Explicit formula

In analogy to the function dg-algebra in (5.1), the differential forms on the derived quotient stack $\text{dCrit}(f) \simeq [Z/G]$ may be computed by the homotopy limit

$$\Omega^\bullet(\text{dCrit}(f)) = \text{holim} \left(\Omega^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}(Z)} \rightrightarrows \Omega^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H} \rightrightarrows \Omega^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H^{\otimes 2}} \rightrightarrows \cdots \right) \quad (6.1)$$

More concretely, a model for the cochain complex $\Omega^p(\text{dCrit}(f))$ of p -forms on $\text{dCrit}(f)$, for $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, is given by the \prod -total complex of the double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (\Omega_{\mathcal{O}(Z)}^p)^0 & \xrightarrow{d^\Delta} & (\Omega_{\mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H}^p)^0 & \xrightarrow{d^\Delta} & (\Omega_{\mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H^{\otimes 2}}^p)^0 & \xrightarrow{d^\Delta} & \cdots \\ \uparrow d & & \uparrow d & & \uparrow d & & \\ (\Omega_{\mathcal{O}(Z)}^p)^{-1} & \xrightarrow{d^\Delta} & (\Omega_{\mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H}^p)^{-1} & \xrightarrow{d^\Delta} & (\Omega_{\mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H^{\otimes 2}}^p)^{-1} & \xrightarrow{d^\Delta} & \cdots \\ \uparrow d & & \uparrow d & & \uparrow d & & \\ (\Omega_{\mathcal{O}(Z)}^p)^{-2} & \xrightarrow{d^\Delta} & (\Omega_{\mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H}^p)^{-2} & \xrightarrow{d^\Delta} & (\Omega_{\mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H^{\otimes 2}}^p)^{-2} & \xrightarrow{d^\Delta} & \cdots \\ \uparrow d & & \uparrow d & & \uparrow d & & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \ddots \end{array} \quad (6.2)$$

where the vertical degrees (denoted as superscripts) are induced by $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ and the differentials d and d^Δ are given by the extension to differential forms of the differentials in (5.2). Recalling the commutative dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ from (4.25), we observe that, by using the coevaluation map $\text{coev} : A \rightarrow T_A \otimes_A \Omega_A^1$, one can define the 1-form

$$\lambda_{T^*[-1]X} := \text{coev}(\mathbb{1}) \in T_A[1] \otimes_A \Omega_A^1 \subseteq (\Omega_{\mathcal{O}(Z)}^1)^{-1} \quad (6.3)$$

in vertical degree -1 and horizontal degree 0 . Furthermore, using the coevaluation map $\text{coev} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$ for the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and its dual $\mathfrak{g}^* = H^+/H^{+2}$, together with the linear map $j : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \Omega_H^1$, $[\theta] \mapsto (d^{\text{dR}}\theta_1)S(\theta_2)$ that assigns right H -invariant 1-forms on H , one can define the 1-form

$$\lambda_{T^*[-1]BG} := (\text{id} \otimes j)\text{coev}(1) \in \mathfrak{g}[2] \otimes \Omega_H^1 \subseteq (\Omega_{\mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H}^1)^{-2} \quad (6.4)$$

in vertical degree -2 and horizontal degree 1 . One can show by an explicit calculation that the two elements $\lambda_{T^*[-1]X}$ and $\lambda_{T^*[-1]BG}$ of the double complex (6.2) satisfy the three conditions

$$d\lambda_{T^*[-1]X} = d^{\text{dR}}f \quad , \quad d^\Delta\lambda_{T^*[-1]X} + d\lambda_{T^*[-1]BG} = 0 \quad , \quad d^\Delta\lambda_{T^*[-1]BG} = 0 \quad . \quad (6.5)$$

As a consequence, setting

$$\omega_{\text{dCrit}(f)} := d^{\text{dR}}(\lambda_{T^*[-1]X} - \lambda_{T^*[-1]BG}) \in \Omega^2(\text{dCrit}(f)) \quad (6.6)$$

defines a d^{dR} -exact 2-form on $\text{dCrit}(f)$ that is further a (-1) -cocycle in the \square -total complex $\Omega^2(\text{dCrit}(f))$, i.e. $d^{\text{tot}}\omega_{\text{dCrit}(f)} = 0$ for the total differential defined by d and d^Δ . The 2-form (6.6) is non-degenerate, hence it defines a (-1) -shifted symplectic structure on $\text{dCrit}(f)$.

6.2 Relationship to the canonical (-1) -shifted symplectic structure

Our (-1) -shifted symplectic structure in (6.6) agrees with the canonical one that is obtained from the theory of derived intersections of (shifted) Lagrangians, see e.g. [Gra20] and references therein. This more abstract point of view is particularly useful to explain the relative sign in (6.6). We will now briefly explain how the relevant computations can be performed.

Consider first the fiber product of derived stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [T^*X//G] & \dashrightarrow & [\text{pt}/G] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow 0 \\ [T^*X/G] & \xrightarrow{\mu} & [\mathfrak{g}^*/G] \end{array} \quad (6.7)$$

that determines the symplectic reduction $[T^*X//G]$ and hence the total space of the cotangent bundle $T^*[X/G] \simeq [T^*X//G]$. The derived stack $[\mathfrak{g}^*/G]$ in the bottom right corner carries a canonical 1-shifted symplectic structure because $[\mathfrak{g}^*/G] \simeq T^*[1]BG$ is equivalent to the total space of the 1-shifted cotangent bundle over $BG := [\text{pt}/G]$. This shifted symplectic structure is given by applying d^{dR} on the tautological 1-form (of degree 1) $\lambda_{T^*[1]BG} \in \Omega^1([\mathfrak{g}^*/G])$, which explicitly takes the same form as its shifted analog in (6.4). Pulling back this form along μ yields

$$\mu^*(\lambda_{T^*[1]BG}) = -d^{\text{tot}}\lambda_{T^*X} \quad , \quad (6.8)$$

where $\lambda_{T^*X} \in \Omega^1([T^*X/G])$ is the tautological 1-form (of degree 0) on T^*X , cf. (4.11). Hence, μ is a shifted Lagrangian with Lagrangian structure $-d^{\text{dR}}\lambda_{T^*X}$. Replacing as in Subsection 4.3 the zero map 0 in (6.7) by the weakly equivalent fibration $\tilde{0} : \text{pt} := \text{Spec } \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ given in (4.18), we obtain for the pullback

$$\tilde{0}^*(\lambda_{T^*[1]BG}) = d^{\text{tot}}\lambda_{T^*BG} \quad , \quad (6.9)$$

where $\lambda_{T^*BG} \in \Omega^1([\widetilde{\text{pt}}/G])$ is of degree 0 and defined analogously to (6.4). Hence, $\widetilde{0}$ is a shifted Lagrangian with Lagrangian structure $d^{\text{dR}}\lambda_{T^*BG}$. The canonical 0-shifted symplectic structure on the fiber product of shifted Lagrangians in (6.7) is then given by the 0-cocycle

$$\omega_{[T^*X//G]} = d^{\text{dR}}(\lambda_{T^*X} + \lambda_{T^*BG}) \in \Omega^2([T^*X//G]) \quad . \quad (6.10)$$

Let us consider now the fiber product of derived stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} d\text{Crit}(f) & \dashrightarrow & [X/G] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow 0 \\ [X/G] & \xrightarrow{d^{\text{dR}}f} & [T^*X//G] \simeq T^*[X/G] \end{array} \quad (6.11)$$

that determines the derived critical locus. Pulling back (6.10) along $d^{\text{dR}}f$ yields

$$(d^{\text{dR}}f)^*(\omega_{[T^*X//G]}) = d^{\text{dR}}d^{\text{dR}}f = 0 \quad , \quad (6.12)$$

hence the section $d^{\text{dR}}f$ is Lagrangian with a trivial Lagrangian structure. Replacing as in Subsection 4.3 the zero section 0 in (6.11) by the weakly equivalent fibration $\widetilde{0} : \widetilde{X} := \text{Spec } \widetilde{A} \rightarrow T^*X$ given in (4.24), we obtain for the pullback

$$\widetilde{0}^*(\omega_{[T^*X//G]}) = d^{\text{tot}}d^{\text{dR}}(\lambda_{T^*[-1]X} - \lambda_{T^*[-1]BG}) \quad , \quad (6.13)$$

hence $\widetilde{0}$ is a Lagrangian with Lagrangian structure $d^{\text{dR}}(\lambda_{T^*[-1]X} - \lambda_{T^*[-1]BG})$. (The two summands were defined explicitly in (6.3) and (6.4).) The resulting canonical (-1) -shifted symplectic structure on $d\text{Crit}(f)$ is then given by our simple formula (6.6) from the previous subsection.

7 Comparison to the BV formalism for Lie algebra actions

Let us start by recalling that our explicit model for the derived critical locus of a function $f : [X/G] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^1$ on a quotient stack is given by a derived quotient stack $d\text{Crit}(f) \simeq [Z/G]$, where $Z = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(Z)$ is a derived affine scheme whose dg-algebra of functions $\mathcal{O}(Z) \in \mathbf{CDGA}^{\leq 0}$ is given in (4.25). To relate this to the ordinary BV formalism for Lie algebra actions, we consider the \mathfrak{g} -action on the dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ that is induced via (4.8) from the right H -coaction $\delta : \mathcal{O}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z) \otimes H$. This allows us to define the formal stack

$$\text{BV}(f) := [Z/\mathfrak{g}] \quad , \quad (7.1)$$

as the quotient of Z by the formal group integrating \mathfrak{g} [Saf21]. It is the analog for infinitesimal symmetries of the derived quotient stack $d\text{Crit}(f) \simeq [Z/G]$ that models the derived critical locus. The dg-algebra of functions of $\text{BV}(f)$ is given by the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochains

$$\mathcal{O}(\text{BV}(f)) = \text{CE}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{O}(Z)) \quad (7.2)$$

of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in the representation $\mathcal{O}(Z)$. More explicitly, the underlying cochain complex of the dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(\text{BV}(f))$ reads as

$$\mathcal{O}(\text{BV}(f))^k = \bigoplus_{n+m=k} \mathcal{O}(Z)^n \otimes \wedge^m \mathfrak{g}^* \cong \bigoplus_{n+m=k} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\wedge^m \mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{O}(Z)^n) \quad , \quad (7.3a)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the differential $d^{\text{tot}} : \mathcal{O}(\text{BV}(f))^k \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\text{BV}(f))^{k+1}$ is defined on homogeneous elements $(\varphi : \wedge^m \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z)^n) \in \mathcal{O}(\text{BV}(f))$ by

$$d^{\text{tot}}\varphi = d\varphi + (-1)^n d^{\text{CE}}\varphi \quad , \quad (7.3b)$$

where d denotes the differential on $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ and d^{CE} is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential. Recall that the latter is given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} (d^{\text{CE}}\varphi)(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m+1}) &= \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} \rho(\xi_i) (\varphi(\xi_1, \dots, \widehat{\xi_i}, \dots, \xi_{m+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \varphi([\xi_i, \xi_j], \xi_1, \dots, \widehat{\xi_i}, \dots, \widehat{\xi_j}, \dots, \xi_{m+1}) \quad , \quad (7.3c) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$. The product of the dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(\text{BV}(f))$ is defined on homogeneous elements $\varphi : \bigwedge^m \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z)^n$ and $\varphi' : \bigwedge^{m'} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z)^{n'}$ by

$$(\varphi \varphi')(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m+m'}) = \frac{(-1)^{n' m}}{m! m'!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{m+m'}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \varphi(\xi_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \xi_{\sigma(m)}) \varphi'(\xi_{\sigma(m+1)}, \dots, \xi_{\sigma(m+m')}) \quad , \quad (7.4)$$

for all $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m+m'} \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $\Sigma_{m+m'}$ denotes the permutation group on $m + m'$ letters and $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$ the sign of a permutation σ . The unit element of $\mathcal{O}(\text{BV}(f))$ is given by $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{O}(Z)$. Note that the dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(\text{BV}(f))$ is precisely the one of the BV formalism: The generators in non-positive degrees, which coincide with the generators of $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ (cf. (4.25)), describe (observables for) gauge fields $a \in A$, antifields $v \in T_A[1]$ and antifields for ghosts $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}[2]$. The Chevalley-Eilenberg generators in positive degrees $\theta \in \mathfrak{g}^*[-1]$ describe (observables for) ghost fields. The total differential d^{tot} on $\mathcal{O}(\text{BV}(f))$ is the BV differential.

The dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(\text{BV}(f))$ of the usual BV formalism can be related directly to our function dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}(\text{dCrit}(f))$ of the derived critical locus (cf. (5.2)). The relevant construction is given by the *van Est map* from Lie groupoid to Lie algebroid cohomology, see e.g. [WX91, Meh06]. For our example, the van Est map is the cochain map

$$\text{vE} : \mathcal{O}(\text{dCrit}(f)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\text{BV}(f)) \quad (7.5a)$$

that is defined on homogeneous elements $b \otimes \underline{h} := b \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^m h_i \in \mathcal{O}(\text{dCrit}(f))$ by

$$\text{vE}(b \otimes \underline{h})(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) := b \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_m} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \xi_{\sigma(1)}(h_1) \cdots \xi_{\sigma(m)}(h_m) \quad , \quad (7.5b)$$

for all $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in \mathfrak{g}$, where the expressions $\xi_{\sigma(i)}(h_i)$ are defined by evaluating the relative derivations $\xi_{\sigma(i)} \in \mathfrak{g} \cong \text{Der}_\epsilon(H, \mathbb{K})$ on $h_i \in H$. (Note that, due to antisymmetrization, this map is zero for all $m > \dim(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence, it is defined on the product (5.2).) The van Est map is further a morphism of dg-algebras, see e.g. [Meh06, Proposition 6.2.3], and its extension to differential forms maps the canonical (-1) -shifted symplectic structure (6.6) on $\text{dCrit}(f)$ to the usual (-1) -shifted symplectic structure on $\text{BV}(f)$ (whose dual is the antibracket) of the BV formalism.

Acknowledgments

We thank Damien Calaque for sharing with us, briefly after the present paper appeared on the arXiv, the draft [AC21], which contains closely related results obtained independently. A.S. gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the Royal Society (UK) through a Royal Society University Research Fellowship (UF150099), a Research Grant (RG160517) and two Enhancement Awards (RGF\EA\180270 and RGF\EA\201051).

References

[AC21] M. Anel and D. Calaque, “Shifted symplectic reduction of derived critical loci,” in preparation (2021).

- [AO21] S. Arkhipov and S. Orsted, “Homotopy limits in the category of dg-categories in terms of A_∞ -comodules,” *European Journal of Mathematics* (2021) [arXiv:1812.03583 [math.CT]].
- [BV81] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, “Gauge Algebra and Quantization,” *Phys. Lett. B* **102**, 27–31 (1981).
- [BBS20] M. Benini, S. Bruinsma and A. Schenkel, “Linear Yang-Mills theory as a homotopy AQFT,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **378**, no. 1, 185–218 (2020) [arXiv:1906.00999 [math-ph]].
- [BS19] M. Benini and A. Schenkel, “Higher structures in algebraic quantum field theory,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **67**, no. 8-9, 1910015 (2019) [arXiv:1903.02878 [hep-th]].
- [BSW19] M. Benini, A. Schenkel and L. Woike, “Homotopy theory of algebraic quantum field theories,” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **109**, no. 7, 1487–1532 (2019) [arXiv:1805.08795 [math-ph]].
- [BZN12] D. Ben-Zvi and D. Nadler, “Loop spaces and connections,” *Journal of Topology* **5**, 377–430 (2012) [arXiv:1002.3636 [math.AG]].
- [BF04] C. Berger and B. Fresse, “Combinatorial operad actions on cochains,” *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **137**, no. 1, 135–174 (2004) [arXiv:math/0109158 [math.AT]].
- [CMR14] A. S. Cattaneo, P. Mnev and N. Reshetikhin, “Classical BV theories on manifolds with boundary,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **332**, 535–603 (2014) [arXiv:1201.0290 [math-ph]].
- [CMR18] A. S. Cattaneo, P. Mnev and N. Reshetikhin, “Perturbative quantum gauge theories on manifolds with boundary,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **357**, no. 2, 631–730 (2018) [arXiv:1507.01221 [math-ph]].
- [Cal21] D. Calaque, “Derived stacks in symplectic geometry,” in: M. Anel and G. Catren (eds.), *New Spaces in Physics: Formal and Conceptual Reflections*, 155–201, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2021) [arXiv:1802.09643 [math.SG]].
- [Cal19] D. Calaque, “Shifted cotangent stacks are shifted symplectic,” *Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse* **28**, no. 1, 67–90 (2019) [arXiv:1612.08101 [math.AG]].
- [CPTVV17] D. Calaque, T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié and G. Vezzosi, “Shifted Poisson structures and deformation quantization,” *J. Topol.* **10**, no. 2, 483 (2017) [arXiv:1506.03699 [math.AG]].
- [CG17] K. Costello and O. Gwilliam, *Factorization algebras in quantum field theory: Volume 1*, New Mathematical Monographs **31**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).
- [CG20] K. Costello and O. Gwilliam, *Factorization algebras in quantum field theory: Volume 2*, book draft (2020). <https://people.math.umass.edu/~gwilliam/factorization2.pdf>
- [Cra03] M. Crainic, “Differentiable and algebroid cohomology, Van Est isomorphisms, and characteristic classes,” *Comment. Math. Helv.* **78**, 681–721 (2003) [arXiv:math/0008064 [math.DG]].
- [FR12] K. Fredenhagen and K. Rejzner, “Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism in the functional approach to classical field theory,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **314**, 93 (2012) [arXiv:1101.5112 [math-ph]].
- [FR13] K. Fredenhagen and K. Rejzner, “Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism in perturbative algebraic quantum field theory,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **317**, 697 (2013) [arXiv:1110.5232 [math-ph]].
- [Gra20] A. Grataloup, “A Derived Lagrangian Fibration on the Derived Critical Locus,” arXiv:2010.14221 [math.SG].

- [LM20] E. Lepri and M. Manetti, “On deformations of diagrams of commutative algebras,” in: E. Colombo, B. Fantechi, P. Frediani, D. Iacono and R. Pardini (eds.), *Birational geometry and moduli spaces*, Springer INdAM Series **39**, Springer, Cham (2020) [arXiv:1902.10436 [math.AG]].
- [Meh06] R. A. Mehta, *Supergroupoids, double structures, and equivariant cohomology*, PhD thesis, UC Berkeley (2006) [arXiv:math/0605356 [math.DG]].
- [PTVV13] T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié and G. Vezzosi, “Shifted symplectic structures,” Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **117**, 271 (2013) [arXiv:1111.3209 [math.AG]].
- [Pri17] J. P. Pridham, “Shifted Poisson and symplectic structures on derived N -stacks,” Journal of Topology **10**, 178–210 (2017) [arXiv:1504.01940 [math.AG]].
- [Saf17] P. Safronov, “Symplectic implosion and the Grothendieck-Springer resolution,” Transform. Groups **22**, 767–792 (2017) [arXiv:1411.2962 [math.AG]].
- [Saf19] P. Safronov, “Shifted Poisson structures in representation theory,” lecture notes (2019). https://drive.google.com/file/d/11-5uANuHty60EfiuldT3Xx_lv4vEgeV_/view
- [Saf21] P. Safronov, “Poisson-Lie structures as shifted Poisson structures,” Adv. Math. **381**, 107633 (2021) [arXiv:1706.02623 [math.AG]].
- [Sch11] U. Schreiber, “Seminar on derived critical loci,” Utrecht University (2011). <https://ncatlab.org/schreiber/show/derived+critical+locus>
- [Toe14] B. Toën, “Derived algebraic geometry and deformation quantization,” Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Seoul (2014) [arXiv:1403.6995 [math.AG]].
- [Vez20] G. Vezzosi, “Basic structures on derived critical loci,” Differential Geometry and its Applications **71**, 101635 (2020) [arXiv:1109.5213 [math.AG]].
- [WX91] A. Weinstein and P. Xu, “Extensions of symplectic groupoids and quantization,” J. Reine Angew. Math. **417**, 159–189 (1991).